U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ALASKA

MAR 1 4 2007

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHORAGE, A.K.
3:05-cv-283

Deans et al Anchorage School District, SEA et al.

March 10, 2007

MOTION TO SUBMITT EVIDENTIAL EXHIBITS SUPPORTING OBJECTION TO MOTION MEMORANDUM SUPPORTING MOTION TO DISMISS ALL DEFENDANTS.

I HERE BY SUBMITT

EXHIBIT L -ALLEDGE NON SUBSTANTIATED DECERTIFICATION BY CINDY ANDERSON

EXHIBIT M- DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT

**EXHIBIT SL2 ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT** 

EXHIBIT Q1 ATTORNEY FOR LEA -CONFIRMING PLAINTIFF DUE PROCESS FAPE

COMPLAINT FEB AND POST PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE OCT. 27, 2005

EXHIBIT Q2 ATTORNEY FOR LEA ACKNOWLEDGING 6 YEAR OLD IEP OCT 27,2005-

**CONFLICTING 2007 MOTION OF NO PRE-EXISTING IEP** 

EXHIBIT Q5 NUMBER 4, NOT WILLING TO ENGAGE IN MEDIATION AND INSISTING ON INTERIM 504 INSTEAD OF INTERIM IEP OCT 27, 2005 SAME LETTER ACKNOWLEDGING

**6 YEAR OLD IEP BUT REFUSING INTERIM IEP** 

EXHIBIT K SEA INVESTIGATION BY DI ANN BROWN. DEC 5, 2005 ANSWER TO EXHIBIT SL2 DATED SEPT 23, 2005 STAY PUT PROVISION MORE THAN 60 DAYS AND STATING SAME ISSUE AS FAPE CALLOUSLY AND CARELESSLY AS IF A PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARD ENFORCEMENT WAS NOT THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SEA. AND PRETENDING COMPLAINTS WERE NOT FILED SEPARATELY. HOW DID THE DEFENDANTS ATTORNEY KNOW THAT FAPE WAS THE SUBJECT OF DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT, BUT THE SEA INVESTIGATOR CAN'T DIFFERIENTIATE BETWEEN PROCEDUARAL SAFEGUARDS AND FAPE, CONFLICTION AGAIN OR EXTREMELY POOR TRICKERY MEANT TO IMPOSE FUTILITY. IN EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES. IDEA LAW ASSUMES STATES CAN GET IT RIGHT, THIS FLIES IN THE FACE

OF THAT ASSUMPTION.

UNITED STATES District OF ALAS/Ca Fe Deval Blds. U.S. Courthouse 222 W. 7th Ave. #4 DISTRICT COURT Anchorage 1 AK. 99513-754



205 En 2 Dear Blod # 1/2